Issue: Fully established in the gospel


- 1. What was Paul's intent in writing this letter to the Roman churches? What does it mean for the Romans to be "fully established in the gospel"?
- 2. How could he call the gospel "his gospel"? How did he receive this full understanding of the gospel? What did he have to rethink those three years in Arabia and Damascus?
- 3. How does his argument unfold in Romans 1:16–15:13? What are the main points included in this full understanding of the gospel?
- 4. Why was Paul so passionate to see the Romans get this full understanding? What was on the line in his ministry strategy? in his network-wide strategy?


Issue: Fully established in the gospel


- 1. What does it mean to be fully established in the gospel?
- 2. What are some specific tests to measure whether a person is fully established in the gospel?
- 3. How does your worldview fundamentally change if you fully grasp the gospel as presented by Paul in Romans?
- 4. In what way is the gospel fundamental to the unity of churches—to being of one mind?














The Early Letters

Book 1: The Early Letters: Fully Establishing the Churches in the Gospel


Book 2: Galatians: So Quickly Leaving the Gospel

Book 3: The Thessalonian Correspondence: Conversion to the Gospel

Book 4: The Corinthian Letters: Fragmentation of the Gospel

Book 5: The Corinthian Letters: Paul's Gospel Defended

Book 6: Romans: Complete Treatise of Paul's Gospel



Book 1: The Early Letters: Fully Establishing the Churches in the Gospel

Session 1: The Intent of Early Letters


Session 2: The Galatian Problem


Session 3: The Thessalonians' Conversion


Session 4: The Corinthian Schisms

Session 5: The Roman Treatise


Session 6: Use in Life and Ministry














49–56 AD

Autumn 49

Winter/Summer 51 AD

September 53

June 56

November 56

Paul's Letters

The battle for the gospel went from Autumn 47 until the writing of Romans in the middle of November 56 AD—ten years

Paul's first confrontation with Peter, Autumn 47 AD (Gal. 2:1–10) Peter in Antioch, Autumn 49 AD (Gal. 2:11–16) Jerusalem Council, Autumn 49 AD

The early letters of Paul, Autumn 49 to writing Romans in 56 AD

It took Paul a decade to win that argument, which he accomplished with his Romans manifesto on the gospel.

Now on to his rather compact, full unveiling of the Church.

Romans is key to understanding the entire collection of Paul's early letters to his network of churches.

Several preliminary comments on the context of Romans in Paul's early letters:

- 1. It is the final and largest letter.
- 2. It is less occasional than the others—more of a manifesto.
- 3. It is bookended by sections that tell you it is a more complete treatment of the gospel than the others.
- 4. Its context is establishing the churches in the gospel, which was the front line of the battle.

²⁵ Now to him who is able to strengthen you according to my gospel, the proclamation of Jesus the Messiah, in accordance with the unveiling of the mystery kept hidden for long ages ²⁶ but now revealed and made known through the prophetic writings, according to the command of the eternal God, for the obedience of faith among all the nations—²⁷ to the only wise God, through Jesus the Messiah, to whom be the glory to the coming ages! Amen.

Romans 16:25–27 (N. T. Wright)


Paul wants to add to their understanding of the gospel by bringing them a complete proclamation,

which will secure, clarify, or build a comprehensive defense of the gospel for them—thus establishing them completely.

Notice the term he uses—establish.

This is central to the occasional purpose of Paul writing the letters. This can quickly be seen in 3 ways:

- 1. A central purpose of his 2nd journey was to establish the young churches, Acts 15:36–16:5.
- 2. He sent Timothy to Thessalonica with the first letter to establish the Thessalonians.
- 3. He summarizes the Romans letter as having established them in the gospel.



We will validate this in sessions 2–5, when we look at each of these early letters:


The Galatian letter

The Thessalonian correspondence

The Corinthian correspondence

The letter to the Romans


But for now, let's do a quick glance at each of Paul's correspondence to the churches in Galatia, in Thessalonica, to the Corinthian churches, and to the Roman churches.



Book 1: The Early Letters: Fully Establishing the Churches in the Gospel

Session 2: The Galatian Problem


In Galatians, they left the gospel for another. Here Paul makes a case by confronting Peter, who actually formed the "kerygmatic formulae," and roots the gospel in the Old Testament law, showing how this all fits in the original plan of God.



Book 1: The Early Letters: Fully Establishing the Churches in the Gospel

Session 3: The Thessalonians' Conversion


In the Thessalonian letters, building on their solid conversion to this gospel, Paul challenges them to become fully established in it.



Book 1: The Early Letters: Fully Establishing the Churches in the Gospel

Session 4: The Corinthian Schisms

In the Corinthian correspondence, Paul relates the gospel to all the divisions in the Corinthian church, defending the gospel as the same one preached by all the apostolic leaders. It is affirmed in statement form in 1 Corinthians 15:1–6. He defends his apostleship in 2 Corinthians, opening the understanding of new covenant ministry.



Book 1: The Early Letters: Fully Establishing the Churches in the Gospel

Session 5: The Roman Treatise

Finally, Paul provides a complete treatise of the gospel to the Romans, introducing the letter with the kerygmatic statement to firmly establish the Roman churches in that gospel, thus fully convincing those who may be confused, by providing the complete gospel.

Issue: Fully established in the gospel

- 1. What was Paul's intent in writing this letter to the Roman churches? What does it mean for the Romans to be "fully established in the gospel"?
- 2. How could he call the gospel "his gospel"? How did he receive this full understanding of the gospel? What did he have to rethink those three years in Arabia and Damascus?
- 3. How does his argument unfold in Romans 1:16–15:13? What are the main points included in this full understanding of the gospel?
- 4. Why was Paul so passionate to see the Romans get this full understanding? What was on the line in his ministry strategy? in his network-wide strategy?

Issue: Fully established in the gospel

- 1. What does it mean to be fully established in the gospel?
- 2. What are some specific tests to measure whether a person is fully established in the gospel?
- 3. How does your worldview fundamentally change if you fully grasp the gospel as presented by Paul in Romans?
- 4. In what way is the gospel fundamental to the unity of churches—to being of one mind?

Now let's turn to Romans, probably Paul's greatest letter, in which his whole theology of the gospel unfolds.

This was probably what he was thinking through in the three years in the wilderness—his whole framework had to be rethought.

Again, The last 3 verses of Romans gives us the picture of what Paul was trying to accomplish in his letter.

²⁵ Now to him who is able to strengthen you according to my gospel, the proclamation of Jesus the Messiah, in accordance with the unveiling of the mystery kept hidden for long ages ²⁶ but now revealed and made known through the prophetic writings, according to the command of the eternal God, for the obedience of faith among all the nations— ²⁷ to the only wise God, through Jesus the Messiah, to whom be the glory to the coming ages! Amen.

Romans 16:25–27 (N. T. Wright)

How in the world can Paul call this "his gospel? Why is it his and not Peter's or the other Jewish leaders of the church around Peter?

¹¹ You see, brothers and sisters, let me make it clear to you: the gospel announced by me is not a mere human invention. 12 I didn't receive it from human beings, nor was I taught it; it came through an unveiling of Jesus the Messiah. 13 You heard, didn't you, the way I behaved when I was still within "Judaism." I persecuted the church of God violently, and ravaged it. 14 I advanced in Judaism beyond many of my own age and people; I was extremely zealous for my ancestral traditions. 15 But when God, who set me apart from my mother's womb, and called me by his grace, was pleased ¹⁶ to unveil his son in me, so that I might announce the good news about him among the nations—immediately I did not confer with flesh and blood. ¹⁷ Nor did I go up to Jerusalem to those who were apostles before me. No, I went away to Arabia, and afterward returned to Damascus. 18 Then, after three years, I went up to Jerusalem to speak with Cephas. I stayed with him for two weeks.

Galatians 1:11–18

What is Paul's point in telling his story of going away for 3 years? What was he doing?

He was rethinking everything. It took him three years. What came out of it? His gospel. Not Peter's. Not the other Jewish leaders in Jerusalem.

Where did he get it? The whole picture was revealed to him by Jesus.

He did not receive it through human beings. He was not taught it.

What does Paul mean when he says to the Romans "I have proclaimed to you my gospel, according to Jesus Christ."

God unveiled the whole picture to him through Jesus Christ those 3 years in Arabia.

Peter and those in Jerusalem could not rethink the whole story.

What did he rethink?

- 1. The Law
- 2. Israel
- 3. God's hidden plan
- 4. The current times
- 5. The future of Israel

He rethought the whole story. And he reframed the entire Law, the Prophets, and the Writings in light of the gospel—the good news.

"...it came through an unveiling" Galatians 1:12

It took him 3 years. It evidently became progressively clear

- as he rethought his entire Jewish training and
- as he rethought the entire Law, the Prophets, and the Writings.

No one else could see it clearly.

What was his gospel?

The complete picture of what was unveiled to him the 3 years in Arabia is in Romans.

The argument of his full gospel is 1:16–15:13, his longest sustained argument in his letters.

Paul's argument seems to have 4 phases to it in 1:16–15:13:

```
1:16–5:21 (chapters 1–5)
6:1–8:39 (chapters 6–8)
9:1–11:36 (chapters 9–11)
12:1–15:13 (chapters 12–15)
```

Next week I am going to string verses together so you can think it through and then condense it into one paragraph.

Romans 12:1–2 sets the whole argument in a context that we must keep clear in our minds, to set the intention of his whole argument in 1:16–11:36.

¹ So, my dear family, this is my appeal to you by the mercies of God: offer your bodies as a living sacrifice, holy and pleasing to God. Worship like this brings your mind into line with God's. ² What's more, don't let yourselves be squeezed into the shape dictated by the present age. Instead, be transformed by the renewing of your minds, so that you can work out what God's will is—what is good, acceptable, and complete.

Romanns 12:1–2

Romans 12:1–2 sets the whole argument in a context that we must keep clear in our minds, to set the intention of his whole argument in 1:16–11:36.

This is his intention:

In light of God's mercies (by faith in the Messiah, we are now part of this new family)

- make a solid commitment to this new life
- renew our minds (bring our minds in line with God's)
- allowing the Spirit to work out God's specific will in our lives

Paul's argument seems to have 4 phases to it in 1:16-15:13:

```
1:16-5:21 (chapters 1-5)
6:1-8:39 (chapters 6-8)
9:1-11:36 (chapters 9-11)
12:1-15:13 (chapters 12-15)
```

This week I encourage you to read one section a day, 2 times each.

- 1. The first time just read it quickly.
- 2. The second reading put a double ** by the main idea of the section and a CC by each corollary concept (remember topoi Greek rhetoric).
- 3. Then condense his argument of the entire section into one paragraph.


Issue: Fully established in the gospel

- 1. What was Paul's intent in writing this letter to the Roman churches? What does it mean for the Romans to be "fully established in the gospel"?
- 2. How could he call the gospel "his gospel"? How did he receive this full understanding of the gospel? What did he have to rethink those three years in Arabia and Damascus?
- 3. How does his argument unfold in Romans 1:16–15:13? What are the main points included in this full understanding of the gospel?
- 4. Why was Paul so passionate to see the Romans get this full understanding? What was on the line in his ministry strategy? in his network-wide strategy?

Issue: Fully established in the gospel

- 1. What does it mean to be fully established in the gospel?
- 2. What are some specific tests to measure whether a person is fully established in the gospel?
- 3. How does your worldview fundamentally change if you fully grasp the gospel as presented by Paul in Romans?
- 4. In what way is the gospel fundamental to the unity of churches—to being of one mind?

The Corinthian Conflicts



Book 4: Romans: Complete Treatise of Paul's Gospel

Session 1: The Intention of Romans

Session 2: The Gospel and the Story

Session 3: The New Gospel Worldview

Session 5: The Reframing of the Jewish Story

Session 6: The Gospel in Transformed Community

Issue: Fully established in the gospel

- 1. What does it mean to be fully established in the gospel?
- 2. What are some specific tests to measure whether a person is fully established in the gospel?
- 3. How does your worldview fundamentally change if you fully grasp the gospel as presented by Paul in Romans?
- 4. In what way is the gospel fundamental to the unity of churches—to being of one mind?